# 378

## References

- Brandsma, L. (1988). Preparative Acetylenic Chemistry, pp. 95–96. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Desiraju, G. R., Calabrese, J. C. & Harlow, R. L. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 77-86.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996a). SHELXTL. Structure Determination Programs. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996b) SADABS. Siemens Area Detector Absorption Correction Software. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). SMART and SAINT. Area Detector Control and Integration Software. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 378-380

# A gem-Dichloro[4.3.1]propellane and a gem-Dichloro[5.3.1]propellenone

MAUREEN F. MACKAY,<sup>*a*</sup> MARTIN G. BANWELL,<sup>*b*</sup> SUSANNE PALLICH<sup>*c*</sup> AND JAMES R. PHYLAND<sup>*c*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia 3083, <sup>b</sup>Research School of Chemistry, Institute of Advanced Studies, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia 0200, and <sup>c</sup>School of Chemistry, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia 3052. E-mail: xraymm2@lure.latrobe.edu.au

(Received 28 May 1997; accepted 29 October 1997)

## Abstract

X-ray analyses have established the structures of  $(3a\alpha, 7a\alpha)$ -6-chloromethyl-8,8-dichloro-2,3-dihydro-5methoxy-3a,7a-methano-1*H*-indene, C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O, and  $(3a\alpha, 8a\alpha)$ -6,9,9-trichloro-2,3,5,8-tetrahydro-1*H*,4*H*-3a,8amethanoazulen-5-one, C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>11</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O, which have resulted from different modes of cyclopropane ring cleavage within the framework of two closely related *gem*-dichloro[4.3.1]propellanes.

#### Comment

We have been developing new methods for the syntheses of *gem*-dihalogen[5.3.1]propellanes because of their utility in the construction of novel analogues of the anticancer agent Taxol<sup>TM</sup> (Banwell *et al.*, 1995). In this respect, we have studied the response of compounds (1*a*) and (1*b*) to various reaction conditions which might have been expected to effect the cleavage of the C1a— C6a bond, thereby providing the desired propellanes. However, we have shown (Banwell et al., 1994) that when compound (1a) is treated with a dilute solution of potassium tert-butoxide in tetrahydrofuran, C1a-CCl<sub>2</sub> bond cleavage is observed and the major product of the reaction is compound (2). This ring-cleavage product was exceptionally unstable and, on standing, the compound rapidly converted into the double-bond isomer (3). In contrast, reaction of compound (1b) with silver acetate resulted in the formation of the desired type of ring-cleavage product, viz. the gem-dichloro-[5.3.1] propellenone (4), although this was accompanied by significant quantities of the alcohol (1c) (Banwell & Pallich, 1997). In view of the different modes of ring cleavage possible within the framework of (1), we have sought to characterize unequivocally the products of such processes in order to identify spectroscopic parameters which would allow ready structure identification. As part of this effort, we now present the results of the X-ray analyses of compounds (3) and (4).



The cyclopentane ring in each structure adopts a C2envelope conformation with pseudo-rotation parameters (Altona *et al.*, 1968)  $\Delta$  37.6 and  $\varphi_m$  9.0° for compound (3), and  $\Delta$  33.4 and  $\varphi_m$  13.6° for compound (4). The cyclohexadiene ring atoms in (3) are coplanar to within 0.019 (2) Å (r.m.s. deviation 0.014 Å). The conformation of (4) is similar to the conformation of the propellanone system in a benzannulated [5.3.1]propellanone (Mackay et al., 1997). The cycloheptene ring is a fairly regular boat, as indicated by the conformational asymmetry parameter (Duax & Norton, 1975)  $\Delta C_s^{3a,8a}$  9.6°. Atoms C4, C3a, C8a and C8 are coplanar (r.m.s. deviation 0.009 Å) to within 0.011 (3) Å, and atoms C4, C5, C6 and C7 are coplanar (r.m.s. deviation 0.04 Å) to within 0.04(1) Å, with the interplanar angle being 122.7(2)°. All bond lengths and angles are similar to those found in comparable structures.



Fig. 1. Perspective views of the molecular structures of (a) the 3aS,7aR isomer of (3) and (b) 3aS,8aR isomer of (4), with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.

(b)

#### Experimental

A tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution of (1a) (Banwell et al., 1994) was added slowly to a stirred 0.05 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide in THF maintained at 273 K. The resulting mixture was stirred at 273 K for 1 h then subjected to a standard extractive work-up with ether. The light yellow solid thus obtained was subjected to chromatography (silica gel, hexane elution) and isolation of the appropriate fraction  $(R_f \ 0.3)$  gave (2) (Banwell et al., 1994) as a colourless crystalline mass. On standing at 258 K for one week, this material rearranged to compound (3) which was recrystallized from acetone. A stirred mixture of compound (1b) and silver acetate (1.1 molar equivalents) in 4:1 glacial acetic acidwater was heated at reflux for 1 h. The cooled solution was subjected to standard extractive work-up with dichloromethane and the material so obtained was subjected to preparative thick-layer chromatography (silica gel, 1:9 ethyl acetatehexane elution). Extraction of the chromatographically less mobile band  $(R_f 0.3)$  gave a solid, which upon recrystallization from ethanol afforded the alcohol (1c). Extraction of the

chromatographically more labile band ( $R_f$  0.45) also gave a solid, which upon recrystallization from ethanol afforded crystals of compound (4).

## Compound (3)

Crystal data  $C_{12}H_{13}Cl_{3}O$   $M_r = 279.6$ Monoclinic  $P2_1/n$  a = 9.831 (3) Å b = 9.817 (2) Å c = 13.488 (4) Å  $\beta = 107.11 (2)^{\circ}$   $V = 1244.1 (5) Å^{3}$  Z = 4  $D_x = 1.493 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$   $D_m = 1.48 (1) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  measured by flotation

#### Data collection

Rigaku AFC diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction: Gaussian (SHELX76; Sheldrick, 1976)  $T_{min} = 0.189 (0.104),$   $T_{max} = 0.342 (0.305)$ 2236 measured reflections 2053 independent reflections

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$   $wR(F^2) = 0.145$  S = 1.1522053 reflections 158 parameters Only H-atom U's refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0622P)^2 + 0.5971P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 16.5-28.1^{\circ}$   $\mu = 6.47 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 292 (2) K Prismatic 0.145 (0.195) × 0.140 (0.192) × 0.042 (0.128) mm Colourless

1688 reflections with  $l > 2\sigma(l)$   $R_{int} = 0.049$   $\theta_{max} = 65.1^{\circ}$   $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$   $k = 0 \rightarrow 11$   $l = 0 \rightarrow 15$ 3 standard reflections every 100 reflections intensity decay: <12%

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.008 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.284 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.378 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Extinction \ correction: \ none} \\ {\rm Scattering \ factors \ from} \\ {\it International \ Tables \ for} \\ {\it Crystallography} \ ({\rm Vol. \ C}) \end{array}$ 

## Table 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) for (3)

C1-C2	1.530 (6)	C5—C6	1,476 (4)
C1-C7a	1.512 (6)	O5'—C5'	1.444 (4)
C2-C3	1.534 (5)	C6C6'	1.488 (4)
C3—C3a	1.527 (4)	C6—C7	1.346 (4)
C3a—C4	1.470 (4)	C6'—Cl6'	1.759 (4)
C3a—C7a	1.536 (4)	С7—С7а	1.470 (4)
C3a-C8	1.507 (4)	C7a—C8	1.514 (4)
C4—C5	1.340 (4)	C8—C18	1.762 (3)
C5—O5'	1.362 (4)	C8—C18′	1.760 (3)

## Compound (4)

Crystal data	
$C_{11}H_{11}Cl_3O$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 265.6$	$\lambda = 1.5418$ Å

Cell parameters from 25

 $0.194 \times 0.192 \times 0.052 \text{ mm}$ 

reflections

 $\theta = 18.8 - 30.5^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 7.00 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 293 (2) K

Platelet

Colourless

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.027$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 65.0^{\circ}$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 10$ 

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 14$ 

 $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ 

3 standard reflections

every 100 reflections

intensity decay: <1.7%

Monoclinic  $P2_1/c$  a = 6.383 (2) Å b = 23.443 (4) Å c = 8.031 (2) Å  $\beta = 107.92$  (2)° V = 1143 (1) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4  $D_x = 1.543$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>  $D_m$  not measured

### Data collection

Rigaku AFC diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction: Gaussian (*SHELX*76; Sheldrick, 1976)  $T_{min} = 0.156$ ,  $T_{max} = 0.514$ 2084 measured reflections 1928 independent reflections 1173 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.014$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.446 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.413 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $wR(F^2) = 0.211$ S = 0.910Extinction correction: none 1928 reflections Scattering factors from 149 parameters International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C) Only H-atom U's refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1473P)^2]$ + 0.136P) where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

# Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) for (4)

C1C8a	1.521 (7)	C5—C6	1.515 (6)
C1-C2	1.551 (5)	C6-C7	1.321 (4)
C2—C3	1.542 (8)	C6C16	1.749 (5)
C3—C3a	1.507 (7)	C7—C8	1.525 (7)
C3a—C4	1.473 (7)	C8—C8a	1.520(7)
C3a—C9	1.507 (6)	C8a—C9	1.518 (5)
C3a—C8a	1.540 (6)	C9—C19′	1.750 (3)
C4—C5	1.513(7)	C9—C19	1.761 (4)
C5—O5	1.196 (4)		

As crystals of (3) were unstable in the X-ray beam, two crystals were used for the intensity measurements. The crystal dimensions and absorption corrections for the second crystal are given in parentheses in the experimental data.

For both compounds, data collection: AFC/MSC Data Collection and Refinement Software (Rigaku Corporation, 1974); cell refinement: AFC/MSC Data Collection and Refinement Software; data reduction: AFC/MSC Data Collection and Refinement Software; program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976); molecular graphics: OR-TEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993).

## References

- Altona, C., Geise, H. J. & Romers, C. (1968). *Tetrahedron*, **24**, 13–32. Banwell, M. G., Gable, R. W., Halton, B. & Phyland, J. R. (1994).
- Aust. J. Chem. 47, 1879–1884. Banwell, M. G., Gable, R. W., Peters, S. C. & Phyland, J. R. (1995). J. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1395–1397.
- Banwell, M. G. & Pallich, S. (1997). Unpublished results.
- Duax, W. L. & Norton, D. A. (1975). Atlas of Steroid Structure, Vol. I, pp. 18-199. New York: Plenum Press.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Mackay, M. F., Banwell, M. G. & Phyland, J. R. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1497-1499.
- Rigaku Corporation (1974). AFC/MSC Data Collection and Refinement Software. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. University of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

## Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 380-382

## Cyclohexanone-2,4,4,6-tetramethyl Ester†

ANDREW B. HUGHES AND MAUREEN F. MACKAY

School of Chemistry, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia 3083. E-mail: xraymm2@lure.latrobe. edu.au

(Received 7 July 1997; accepted 31 October 1997)

## Abstract

The title compound,  $C_{14}H_{18}O_9$ , was isolated as a minor by-product (2.6%) from the benzene mother liquors of a preparation of Meerwein's ester. The molecule is present in the crystal in the enol configuration with the cyclohexene ring adopting a half-chair conformation.

#### Comment

In the course of a preparation of Meerwein's ester (Meerwein & Schurmann, 1913), the main compound was isolated in the published manner (Schaefer & Honig, 1968) from a benzene recrystallization. The mother liquors were left to stand for a few days and a highly crystalline second crop precipitated. As the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the latter did not agree with that of the major compound, an X-ray analysis was undertaken to identify the minor product. A perspective view of the title compound, (I), is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HA1196). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

<sup>†</sup> Alternative name: tetramethyl 2-hydroxycyclohexene-1,3,5,5-tetracarboxylate.